# Leaky Integrate-and-Fire Model Neuron

### Nernst Equation and Equilibrium/Reversal Potential

Considering one type of ion (diagram is typical of Potassium, K) with negative reversal potential,  $E_K \approx -90mV$ .



Pumps (requiring ATP and without transferring charge) ensure concentration of potassium  $[K^+]$  is greater inside than outside the cell.

Potassium ions (carrying charge) would on average flow out of the cell through ion channels, leaving the inside at a negative potential ( $E_K < 0$ ) until a dynamic equilibrium is reached where the flow in matches the flow out.

Rate of flow in either direction is proportional to concentration at starting point.

But only a fraction of ions with enough energy can make it out once there is a potential difference across the membrane.

Fraction with thermal energy, U, greater than -zqV is  $\exp\left(\frac{zqV}{kT}\right)$ .



For one ion equilibrium potential E is where  $[inside] \exp\left(\frac{zqE}{kT}\right) = [outside]$ . Hence

$$E = \frac{kT}{zq} \ln\left(\frac{[outside]}{[inside]}\right) \qquad Nernst \ Equation \tag{1}$$

Each ion has its own E. Calculation of equilibrium for all types of channel leads to a reversal potential or equilibrium potential or leak potential,  $V_L$ , of the cell, where overall charge flow is zero.  $V_L \approx -70 mV$ .

# Membrane potential, $V_m$

If  $V_m > V_L$  (that is we **depolarize**, make less negative) charge flows out of the cell and the membrane potential potential goes back down (becomes more negative).

If  $V_m < V_L$  (that is we **hyperpolarize**, make more negative) charge flows into the cell and the membrane potential potential goes back up (becomes less negative).

Current flow per unit area **out** of the neuron through "leak" channels in the membrane is given by  $I_m = G_L (V_m - V_L)$ . (Positive membrane current is outward.)

Change in potential due to current flow depends on the membrane capacitance,  $C_m$ , via  $Q = C_m V_m$  where Q is excess charge inside the neuron.

Since  $dQ/dt = -I_m$  we have in general:

$$C_m \frac{dV_m}{dt} = -G_L \left( V_m - V_L \right) + I_{app} \tag{2}$$

where  $I_{app}$  is an externally applied (inward) current. (Positive applied current is inward.)

 $c_m$  is specific membrane capacitance = capacitance per unit area. Total capacitance,  $C_m = c_m A$ .

 $r_m$  is specific membrane (surface) resistance, Total input resistance,  $R_{in} = r_m/A$ .

 $g_L = 1/r_m$  is specific membrane conductance. Total conductance,  $G_L = g_L A$ .

#### Response to a long current pulse



Time course is exponential decay to a steady state  $(V_{ss})$  with a time constant  $\tau_m$ . Proof: rewrite Eq. 2 as

$$\frac{dV_m}{dt} = \frac{V_{ss} - V_m}{\tau_m} \quad \text{where} \tag{3}$$

$$\tau_m = \frac{C}{G_L} = CR_{in} \approx 20ms \tag{4}$$

and 
$$V_{ss} = V_L + I/G_L$$
 (5)

If current switches at time, t = 0 then solution is:

$$V_m(t) = V_{ss} + [V_m(0) - V_{ss}] e^{-t/t_m}.$$
 (6)

### Leaky integrate-and-fire

We have already shown leaky integration. Now add a 'fire' by hand when  $V_m$  reaches a threshold,  $V_{th}$  then reset to  $V_{reset}$  and wait a short refractory time,  $\tau_{ref}$  before further integration.



What is the firing rate, f(I)? Time between spikes is  $\tau_{ref} + T$  where T is time for  $V_m$  to increase from  $V_{reset}$  to  $V_{th}$ . Again, integrating Eq. 3:

$$\int_{V_{reset}}^{V_{th}} \frac{dV_m}{V_{ss} - V_m} = \int_0^T \frac{dt}{\tau_m} \tag{7}$$

leads to

$$T = -\tau_m \ln \left[ \frac{V_{ss} - V_{th}}{V_{ss} - V_{reset}} \right]$$
(8)

Then  $f(I) = 1/(\tau_{ref} + T)$  if  $I > I_c$ .  $I_c$  defined by  $V_{ss} = V_{th}$  leads to  $I_c = G_L(V_{th} - V_L)$ .

#### Euler method for ODEs

Cf Numerical Recipes by W.H.Press et al.

See also: *Modeling in Biology: Differential Equations* by Clifford Taubes, Prentice Hall, 2001. To solve numerically:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x) \qquad \text{with} \qquad x_0 = x(t=0) \tag{9}$$

Write  $x_n = x(t = n\Delta t)$ . Define:  $\Delta x_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - x_n$  then for small  $\Delta t$  Eq. 9 becomes

$$\frac{\Delta x_{n+1}}{\Delta t} = f(x_n) \tag{10}$$

hence

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + f(x_n)\Delta t$$
 error per step  $\approx (\Delta t)^2$  (11)

#### Euler method with white noise

White noise, w(t) is defined as having zero mean, but a variance that is a delta-function in time.

$$\langle w(t) \rangle = 0 \qquad ; \qquad \langle w(t)w(t') \rangle = \delta(t-t')$$
 (12)

The integral (dt) over a delta-function gives, unity, so the delta-function has units of inverse time  $(ms^{-1})$  and the white noise function has units of square-root of inverse-time,  $(ms^{-1/2})$ .

Equation to integrate:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x) + \sigma w(t) \tag{13}$$

Must be implemented numerically:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + f(x_n)\Delta t + \sigma\sqrt{\Delta t}\tilde{w}_n \tag{14}$$

where  $\tilde{w}_n$  is a random selection from a Gaussian, with distribution:

$$p\left(\tilde{w}_n\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\tilde{w}_n^2/2} \tag{15}$$

This has zero mean, and variance 1 for a particular n but covariance 0 for terms with different n: this can be written  $\langle \tilde{w}_n \tilde{w}_{n'} \rangle = \delta_{n-n'}$ .

# Warning: only read further if everything up until now is straightforward to you! Why scale noise term by $\sqrt{\Delta t}$ in simulations?

In words: the white noise term adds variance to x, not a mean effect.

For N independent choices of noise term (one for each time step) if the amplitude of the noise term is A then the variance of N terms is  $NA^2$ . Hence at time  $T = N\Delta t$  the variance is  $NA^2$ .

If we change  $\Delta t$  the number of time steps, N to reach T changes inversely,  $N = T/(\Delta t)$ . But we do not want the variance to change as we change the time step of our simulation, so we want  $NA^2$  to remain fixed. This means  $A^2$  scales as  $1/N \propto \Delta t$ . Recall A is the amplitude of the noise term, so the amplitude scales as  $A \propto \sqrt{\Delta t}$ . Mathematical proof: just consider contribution of the noise term, which only depends on time, so we ignore f(x) in Eq. 13. If:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \sigma w(t) \tag{16}$$

then

$$x(T) = x_0 + \sigma \int_0^T w(t')dt'$$
(17)

and  $\langle x(t) \rangle = x_0$  while

$$Var(x) = \left\langle (x - x_0)^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \sigma^2 \int_0^T w(t') dt' \int_0^T w(t'') dt'' \right\rangle$$
$$= \sigma^2 \int_0^T dt' \int_0^T dt'' \left\langle w(t') w(t'') \right\rangle$$
$$= \sigma^2 \int_0^T dt' \int_0^T dt'' \delta(t' - t'')$$
$$= \sigma^2 \int_0^T dt' = \sigma^2 T.$$
(18)

This is the analytic solution (using continuous time) that we must achieve numerically (using discrete time steps).

Now we prove that Eq. 14, ignoring the  $f(x_n)$  term, gives the correct result:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \sigma \sqrt{\Delta t} \tilde{w}_n \tag{19}$$

leads to

$$x_N = x_0 + \sigma \sqrt{\Delta t} \sum_{k=1}^N \tilde{w}_k \tag{20}$$

where the sum is over N independent variables, each with mean 0 and variance 1 so  $\langle x_N \rangle = x_0$ and

$$Var(x_N) = \left\langle (x_N - x_0)^2 \right\rangle = \sigma^2 \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{k'=1}^N \left\langle \tilde{w}_k \tilde{w}_{k'} \right\rangle$$
$$= \sigma^2 \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{k'=1}^N \delta_{k-k'}$$
$$= \sigma^2 \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^N 1$$
$$= \sigma^2 \Delta t N = \sigma^2 T \quad \text{where} \quad T = N \Delta t. \tag{21}$$

This agrees with Eq. 18 as required.